

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1 To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

a. Paragraph number	<input type="text"/>	b. Policy reference	<input type="text"/>
c. Proposals map	BURNHAM	d. Other section (please specify)	SEWERAGE

2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. **Legally compliant** YES NO
 To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.

b. **Sound** YES NO
 To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.
 If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below

2.3 Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. **Positively prepared**
 To be positively prepared the Plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

b. **Justified**
 To be justified the Plan must be:
 - Founded on a robust and credible evidence base
 - The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives ?

c. **Effective**
 To be effective the Plan must be: SERVICEABLE
 - Deliverable;
 - Flexible;
 - Able to be monitored

d. **Consistent with National Policy** ?
 The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

2.4 If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

EXISTING SEWERAGE DISPOSAL TRANSPORTED
 BY ROAD TO EAST TILBURY 35 MILES APPROX.
 33 TONNE LOADS WHICH BREAK UP ROADS & CAUSE
 ALMOST 10,000 TONNES ANNUALLY
 TANKER TRIPS OF $35 \times 2 = 70$ MILES EACH TRIP.
 THE TOWN OF BURNHAM YOUR LAYOUT
 DOES NOT SIGNIFY THE LOCATION OF SEWAGE
 PLANT (BAD PLANNING)
 FINALLY, WHEN TURNED INTO FERTILIZER THE
 EAST TILBURY PLANT TRANSPORTS "THE CAKE"
 BACK TO BURNHAM & OR THE DENGIE HUNDRED
 TO SOIL TO THE FARMING & ADJACENT TO OUR
 SEWER TREATMENT PLANT 35×2 MILES TOTAL 140 M
 ANGLIAN WATER INTEND TO CARRY THIS
 PROCEDURE AND INCREASE THE NUMBER OF
 TANKERS TO COPE WITH MORE HOUSES &
 MORE PEOPLE, HOW MUCH MONEY IS BEING SPENT
 IF YEARS AFTER THEY CARRY ON WITH NO
 DIRECTION FROM LOCAL AUTHORITY OR
 ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCY,

WILLIAM R HAPSEY

P	S	C				/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--	--

2.5 Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.

Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

POSSIBLE SOLUTION:

A WIND TURBINE UNIT IS BEING PLACED IN A "LOCAL AREA" MIDDLE WICK FARM MAY HAVE SIX INSTALLED THIS WOULD BE SURROUNDING A PROPER TREATMENT WORKS SAVING 140 MILES PER 3 TONNE LOAD

THE TURBINES MAY DISTURB TO FLOW OF AIR, THIS COULD BE GOOD FOR THE "ODOUR" ITS LAND ALREADY ALLOCATED IE

"A NO-GO AREA FOR THE PUBLIC" FROM OUR EXISTING HOLDING TANKS (SEWER WORKS) A GRAVITY FED PIPE, IT MAY NEED PUMPING IS OF SMALL COST COMPARED TO TANKERS COSTS

MIDDLE WICK IDEA COULD TAKE SOUTH MINISTERS WOODFERRIS ETC EVEN A RAILWAY SPUR STILL CHEAPER THAN YEARS & YEARS OF TANKER TO TILBURY

NOTE ALL THE PLANNING OF LDP TO DATE DOES NOT RELATE TO SOMETHING ALL OF US NEED TO DO EVERY DAY OF OUR LIVES

W. RAMPSON

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

2.6 Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (✓ as appropriate)

NO, I wish to communicate through written representations

YES, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7 If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

ITS AN 84YR OLD RESIDENT OF BURNHAM AT THE WEST
END OF THE CREEK WITH A SEWER PROBLEM!

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.