

**Emily Hall**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 February 2014 11:56  
**To:** Emily Hall  
**Cc:** Policy  
**Subject:** RE: LDP Consultation

Emily

Thanks, but I have previously stated, that I quite honestly, consider the LDP to be somewhat unreadable as I am not legally qualified, I can confirm that my comments relate to the whole document, and as you have advised, that, if I do not amend my Representation Form(s) prior to the deadline of the public consultation, Officers of the Council will submit my Representation in the correct format of the Planning Inspectorate, and the content will not be changed, I am content

I should also advise, that following a recent meeting, in addition to the comments that I have previously made, that I understand :-

1] The route of proposed relief road, has been changed, and that it will now by pass Langford, which, once again, as not been subject to any public consultation, and which I know a number of Councillors were strongly opposed to

2] That the main sewage drainage system throughout Heybridge, is already operating at more than 90% of its maximum capacity, and there is no mention in the document, as to how this issue will be addressed. Which I would suggest will most certainly increase the already critical flood risk, and also present, if not addressed, a significant Health risk

3] That the Council are holding meetings with at least 3 potential Developers, which would indicate that any planning applications would be readily approved, and the agreement that was made a Council meeting that was held around the time that the LDP was being prepared, that any areas of development, would not be split between developers, and so ensure that any new estate created would have housing of a similar design, is no longer valid

In addition, there is no reference within the document, as to what might be proposed with regards to Holloway Road, with regards to the current weight restrictions, and the issue of parking. As you may well be aware, vehicles already have to park of the grass verge, which I would assume would be lost if the proposed Northern development was to take place, and then these vehicle would have to park in the road, which is not wide enough for vehicles to pass in both directions, if car are parked

Can you please confirm, as with my previous comments, that the Council will include these additional comments / representations when submissions are made to the Planning Inspectorate

[REDACTED]  
E-mail: [REDACTED]

**From:** Emily Hall [mailto:Emily.hall@maldon.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 27 February 2014 16:29  
**To:** [REDACTED]