

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1. To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

- | | | | |
|---|-------|--|-------|
| a. Paragraph
(please specify paragraph number) | 2.64 | b. Policy
(please specify policy reference) | S4 |
| c. Proposals Map | _____ | d. Other section
(please specify) | _____ |

2.2. Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be (tick as appropriate):

- a. Legally compliant** Yes ☒
To be 'legally compliant' the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance No ☐
- b. Sound** Yes ☐
To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance No ☒
(if you **do not consider the LDP to be sound**, please complete section 2.3. below)

2.3. Do you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound because it is not (tick as appropriate):

- a. Positively prepared** ☐
To be positively prepared the plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements
- b. Justified** ☐
To be justified the plan must be:
- Founded on a robust and credible evidence base;
 - The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives.
- c. Effective** ☒
To be effective the plan must be:
- Deliverable;
 - Flexible;
 - Able to be monitored.
- d. Consistent with National Policy** ☐
The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

2.4. If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below.

Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

- a) The Parish Council note that traffic generated by housing development in Heybridge and Maldon will cause congestion in Hatfield Peverel at the B1019/B1137 junction and that Essex County Council Highways has identified that there is no immediate viable solution to this. As a new road connecting the B1019 to the A12 is not deliverable at the time, the Parish Council believe that the Maldon District Local Development Plan is unsound. Traffic levels through Hatfield Peverel are already not sustainable particularly when there are closures on the A12 due to accidents. There is no capacity for overflow traffic around the local network of one track rural lanes.
- b) Research on junction capacities does not take into account the traffic generated from the additional 335 houses transferred from the south Maldon allocation to north Heybridge in the 2013 Draft Local Development Plan.
- c) The Parish Council was not consulted and was in fact not notified officially of the proposed location of the roundabout at the Langford end of the North Heybridge relief road.

2.5. Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.

Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

To make the plan effective a route out of the District to the A12 is necessary. Without this the plan is not deliverable because of the effect it will have on those living and travelling on the B1019, B1018, A414, B1022 and other roads out of and within the District. The Parish Council is concerned that funding for the North Heybridge relief road will divert funding for a road from the B1019 to the A12. A road directly to the A12 would make more sense and current pinch points would not be exacerbated. Less infrastructure would be required if more houses were to be built within easy access of the Southminster/Wickford railway line

2.6. Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (tick as appropriate)

No, I wish to communicate through written representations ☐

Yes, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions ☒

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7. If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

To give a very small community a voice.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.