

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| P | S | C | | | | / | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1 To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

a. Paragraph number

b. Policy reference

c. Proposals map

d. Other section (please specify)

2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. Legally compliant

YES

NO

To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.

b. Sound

YES

NO

To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.

If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below

2.3 Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. Positively prepared

To be positively prepared the Plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

b. Justified

To be justified the Plan must be:

- Founded on a robust and credible evidence base
- The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives

c. Effective

To be effective the Plan must be:

- Deliverable;
- Flexible;
- Able to be monitored

d. Consistent with National Policy

The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| P | S | C | | | | | / | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|

2.4 If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

we were circulated a document which told us of a proposed 1000 houses projected to be built on the land north of Holloway Road, now we find that there are to be 1335!, an increase that we were not informed of or allowed any representation of!! such practice seems very undemocratic and very devious by ~~the~~ our council! we were informed of these plans for development by Strutt and Parker in July 2013, and if we understand that before any plans of building would be thought of a detailed and thorough plan of the FLOOD ALLEVIATION would be in place! and to date that has still not been presented!! this suggests to me that there are huge problems in designing this! the costs that the council are projecting are far less than the developers are projecting for the flood alleviation and infrastructure.

this growth would greatly increase the urbanisation of Maldon, why hasn't there been more explanation of the viability of other areas!

this garden suburb will subsume the existing size of Heybridge impacting greatly on the countryside and I believe is in conflict with Special Vision 2.4.

This land in Heybridge is neither accessible or appropriate for this expansion, there is no rail access or suitable road access to major routes other than those that are already at

full capacity.

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| P | S | C | | | | / | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|

- 2.5 Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

There should be more consideration ~~to~~ given to more acceptable areas such as those with or close to, Rail links such as North Fambroige, Southminster which are both enjoying out for development retail and domestic, also Althorne, and South Maldon.

Also we should have public consultation on the enlargement of Heybridge Garden Suburb, if the MDC wish to have the revised figures considered by the inspector!

Alternatively the original figures (the ones we were asked to comment on) should be used.

To sum up either reduce the size of the development at north Heybridge or don't build it there at all.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| P | S | C | | | | | / | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|

2.6 Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (✓ as appropriate)

NO, I wish to communicate through written representations

YES, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7 If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

I wish my doubts to be heard on the grounds of the existing house flooding that we in Holloway Road are experiencing approximately three times a year for many years now. My personal background is in drainage having worked for a while for the local government in "Old Court Chelmsford" for the Estates and drainage department under "Len Johnson". Also having worked for "J Roger Preston and Partners of London" ^(CONSULTING ENGINEERS) where we designed many drainage systems for future developments, including the "M11" motorway, The "Camden Development" in South London to mention just a couple.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.