

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1 To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

a. Paragraph number

b. Policy reference

c. Proposals map

d. Other section (please specify)

2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. Legally compliant

YES NO

To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.

b. Sound

YES NO

To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.

If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below

2.3 Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. Positively prepared

To be positively prepared the Plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

b. Justified

To be justified the Plan must be:

- Founded on a robust and credible evidence base
- The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives

c. Effective

To be effective the Plan must be:

- Deliverable;
- Flexible;
- Able to be monitored

d. Consistent with National Policy

The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

2.4 If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

THE PLAN IS NOT SOUND.

NOT ALL SITES WERE ASSESSED, WHERE MDC DID NOT WANT BUILDING THEY DID NOT ASSESS.

SEE: PURPOSE OF THE ASSESSMENT PARA 7 (PPS3) "IT SHOULD AIM TO IDENTIFY AS MANY SITES WITH ~~THE~~ HOUSING POTENTIAL IN AND AROUND AS MANY SETTLEMENTS.

MDC REFUSED TO ASSESS NIPSELLS FARM IN 2007 + LATER DATES. PARA 9 = IT IS NOT A ONE-OFF STUDY.

17: KEEPING THE ASSESSMENT UP TO DATE: MDC WILL NOT ASSESS SITES THEY DO NOT WANT TO BUILD ON. IT IS NOT SOUND (SEE ABOVE)

IT IS NOT POSITIVELY PREPARED (SEE ABOVE)

IT IS NOT DELIVERABLE = 75 HOUSES ALLOCATED TO NORTH FAMBLEDGE, BUT THIS HAS SEWAGE PROBLEMS + ANGLIAN WATER WANT £2-2.5 MILLION TO FIX - UNDELIVERABLE

IT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH NATIONAL POLICY
STAGE 4 - DETERMINING WHICH SITES + AREAS WILL BE SURVEYED = AS A MINIMUM ALL SITES IDENTIFIED BY THE DESKTOP REVIEW SHOULD BE VISITED.

IT IS NOT ACHIEVABLE - SEE DELIVERABLE ABOVE

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

2.5 Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.

Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

- 1) RE-ASSESS ALL SITES.
- 2) NEW CALL FOR SITES - SO THAT THE OBJECTIVES OF SHLAA ARE ACTUALLY FOLLOWED.
- 3) PROPERLY RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE - NORTH FAMSBRIDGE SEWAGE !!
(£-2.5 MILLION REQUIRED BY ANGLIAN WATER)
- 4) ASSESS ALL SITES SENT IN FOR ASSESSMENT. DO NOT THROW AWAY THE AREAS YOU DON'T WANT TO BUILD IN AND REFUSE TO ASSESS.
- 5) ACTUALLY FOLLOW GUIDELINES AS SET OUT IN SHLAA PRACTICE GUIDANCE (DCLG)

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

2.6 Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (✓ as appropriate)

NO, I wish to communicate through written representations

YES, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7 If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.