

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 “Pre-Submission LDP” consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1. To which part of the Maldon District Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

- | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| <p>a. Paragraph
(please specify paragraph number) _____</p> | <p>b. Policy
(please specify policy reference) _____</p> | <p>Policy D3
_____</p> |
| <p>c. Proposals Map
_____</p> | <p>d. Other section
(please specify) _____</p> | <p>_____</p> |

2.2. Do you consider the Maldon District LDP to be... (tick as appropriate):

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>a. Legally compliant
To be 'legally compliant' the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance</p> | <p>Yes ☒</p> <p>No ☐</p> |
| <p>b. Sound
To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance</p> | <p>Yes ☐</p> <p>No ☒</p> |

2.3. Do you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound because it is not (tick as appropriate):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>a. Positively prepared
To be positively prepared the plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements</p> | <p>☐</p> |
| <p>b. Justified
To be justified the plan must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Founded on a robust and credible evidence base; • The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives. | <p>☐</p> |
| <p>c. Effective
To be effective the plan must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deliverable; • Flexible; • Able to be monitored. | <p>☐</p> |
| <p>d. Consistent with National Policy
The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework</p> | <p>☒</p> |

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

- 2.4.** If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

Policy D3: Heritage Assets

We welcome this policy, which seeks to set out a positive strategy for the historic environment as required by paragraph 126 of the NPPF. However, we consider that a minor amendment is needed to the policy wording in order to make it sound and consistent with national policy. Part 2 of the policy refers to identifying the impact on development on the “special character” of heritage assets, which is difficult to define in terms of national policy and legislation. It should be replaced with reference to significance, which is the established term in the NPPF relating to all heritage assets. Given that part 2 begins by referring to significance, it should end with the same reference to ensure consistency and avoid confusion.

The paragraph relating to enabling development has been partly clarified in response to our previous comments and now helpfully refers to heritage assets at risk. As stated previously, enabling development must be justified to resolve the problems of the heritage asset rather than circumstances relating to ownership.

- 2.5.** Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.
Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

In part 2 replace “special character” with “significance”

2.6. Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (tick as appropriate)

No, I wish to communicate through written representations ☒

Yes, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions ☐

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7. If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.