0168	-5	38	8-	<b>D</b> 5	5-2	23	or o	fficial	use	only
	Р	S	С					/		

## Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

a. Paragraph number  c. Proposals map  2.2 def  d. Other section (please specify)  2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be (✓ as appropriate)  a. Legally compliant  To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.  b. Sound  To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance. If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below  2.3 Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not (✓ as appropriate)
<ul> <li>2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be (✓ as appropriate)</li> <li>a. Legally compliant  To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.</li> <li>b. Sound  To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance. If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>a. Legally compliant  To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.</li> <li>b. Sound  To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance. If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below</li> </ul>
To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.  b. Sound  To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.  If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below
To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.  If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below
2.3. Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not(\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\
2.0 you consider the mandon District to be unsound because it is not (* as appropriate
a. Positively prepared  To be positively prepared the Plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements
<ul> <li>b. Justified</li> <li>To be justified the Plan must be:</li> <li>Founded on a robust and credible evidence base</li> <li>The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives</li> </ul>
c. Effective
To be effective the Plan must be: - Deliverable; - Flexible; - Able to be monitored
d. Consistent with National Policy
The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

0168	-5	<u> 38</u>	8-	<b>D</b> 5	5-2	23	or o	fficial	use	only
	Р	S	С					/		

## 2.4 If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

for any comments in support of the LDP.
If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.
The flood risk in Heybridge is already a major concern, and this development would considerably increase that risk. Holloway Road has already been severely affected in recent years, not helped by land south of Crescent Road, the Blackwater Approach development, which before that regularly acted as a flood area. We are now also getting flooding occuring around the Bentall Shopping area and Goldhanger Road.
The documentation states that a 'Sequential Test' should be used to locate development in areas with the lowest probability of flooding, flooding has always been as issue, however in recent years flooding in the Heybridge district has worsened considerably.
It has been pointed out to me that no reliable evidence has been produced to indicate that the costs can be met for testing and resolving this issue (a requirement of the development), rendering the development not viable and undeliverable.

0168	-5	<u> 38</u>	8-	<u>D5</u>	<u>5-2</u>	3	or o	fficial	use	only
	Р	S	С					/		

2.5 Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.

Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

any daggeoted reviews wereing or the penetree of dapperting toxu
If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.
Abandoning the Heybridge Garden Suburb and relocating the housing to areas that are less prone to flood risk would improve the viability and probability of delivery.
The proportionate enlargment of villages that are not subject to flood risk would still allow for the required targets to be met with reduced cost and risk.

0168	-5	38	8-	D5	5-2	3	or o	fficial	use	only
	Р	S	C					/		

		_
2.6	Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? ( $\checkmark$ as appropriate)	
	NO, I wish to communicate through written representations	/
	YES, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions	_
	ase note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have cated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination	
2.7	If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary	
If the	e box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.	

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.