

P	S	C				/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1 To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

a. Paragraph number

b. Policy reference

c. Proposals map

d. Other section (please specify)

2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. Legally compliant

YES NO

To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.

b. Sound

YES NO

To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.
If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below

2.3 Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not ... (✓ as appropriate)

a. Positively prepared

To be positively prepared the Plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

b. Justified

To be justified the Plan must be:

- Founded on a robust and credible evidence base
- The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives

c. Effective

To be effective the Plan must be:

- Deliverable;
- Flexible;
- Able to be monitored

d. Consistent with National Policy

The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies

P	S	C				/	
---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--

2.4 If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

The road network around the Malton and Heybridge areas would need a wider network of improvements at junctions which the council admit are undeliverable especially as the main access point on the A12 B1019/B1137 at Hatfield several km outside the jurisdiction of MDC the net result would be chaos and congestion on a very large scale. The MDC accept that the junction improvements are undeliverable but ignore this fact.

The building of a much needed new primary school and the extension of the Secondary School will impact on the congestion and traffic movements at school times to add to the already congested roads around Maldon and Heybridge making it very dangerous especially the school children. If a new much needed Hospital is to be built and new Health facilities which are at critical point at this moment then again a huge impact on the traffic and the congestion.

P	S	C				/	
---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--

- 2.5 Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.
Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

By abandoning the Heybridge Garden Suburb it would overcome the great concern felt by the Peoples of Wickham Bishop's Atham and Hatfield Peveral regarding the thousands of cars and Lorries passing through their villages and overcome the Council's concern regarding the A12 / B109 / B1137 Junction. Because of the parking facilities most of the Commuters use the A14 through Danbury. As Chelmsford is the only Station that has capacity, Atham is over full and Hatfield Peveral has little or no parking. By abandoning the Heybridge Suburb and deploying the Housing at Atham Southminster and all areas to the South of Maldon the Schooling needs could be met and the expansion of Plume Schools affect on traffic in Maldon kept to a minimum because pupils would be able to take advantage of a free bus service.

P	S	C					/	
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--

2.6 Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (✓ as appropriate)

NO, I wish to communicate through written representations

YES, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7 If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

I do not wish to speak at the enquiry but as a resident of Haybridge I would like my voice represented by the Haybridge Residents Assoc.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.