

P	S	C							
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation**

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

**2.1 To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?**

a. Paragraph number

b. Policy reference

c. Proposals map

d. Other section (please specify)

**2.2 Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be ... (✓ as appropriate)**

**a. Legally compliant**

YES  NO

To be legally compliant the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance.

**b. Sound**

YES  NO

To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance.

**If you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3 below**

**2.3 Do you consider the Maldon District to be unsound because it is not ... (✓ as appropriate)**

**a. Positively prepared**

To be positively prepared the Plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

**b. Justified**

To be justified the Plan must be:

- Founded on a robust and credible evidence base
- The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives

**c. Effective**

To be effective the Plan must be:

- Deliverable;
- Flexible;
- Able to be monitored

**d. Consistent with National Policy**

The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

**Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.**

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

**2.4 If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below. Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.**

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

The original document circulated to the residents of Heybridge in 2013 showed the total of new housing proposed for Heybridge to be 1000. Now the Pre Submission LDP indicates that the total for Heybridge should be 1335. The increase of a further 335 has not been part of a public consultation and therefore the residents have not had their say which is undemocratic.

Why has there been no mention of growth in other areas and no explanation why this is. I feel that the infrastructure costs for North Heybridge have not been properly assessed.

I also feel that such a development would have a large impact on Heybridge. There are no appropriate roads or rail network, so surely looking at Allthorne, North Fambridge and Southminster make sense.

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

- 2.5 Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.**

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

I feel that there should be a public consultation on the enlargement of Heybridge or the original documents should be used.

I think that the urbanisation of Maldon, Heybridge and Burham could be better dealt with and other areas enlarged to reduce the amount to be built. Surely Southminster, Althorne & North Fambridge with rail connections be a better outlook and these areas would benefit with better amenities and retail facilities.

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

**2.6 Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (✓ as appropriate)**

NO, I wish to communicate through written representations

YES, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

**2.7 If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary**

If the box is not big enough for your comments, please attach another page marked appropriately.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.