

For Official Use Only

P	S	C					/		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part 2 - Regulation 19 and 20 Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 "Pre-Submission LDP" consultation

Please note that all comments on the Pre-Submission LDP consultation should be provided by completing Part 2 of this form. A separate completed Part 2 should be provided for each comment made within a representation.

2.1. To which part of the Maldon District Pre-Submission Local Development Plan (LDP) does this representation relate?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>a. Paragraph
(please specify paragraph number) _____</p> <p>c. Proposals Map
_____</p> | <p>b. Policy
(please specify policy reference) <u>E6</u></p> <p>d. Other section
(please specify) _____</p> |
|---|---|

2.2. Do you consider the Maldon District Pre-Submission LDP to be (tick as appropriate):

a. Legally compliant

To be 'legally compliant' the LDP has to be prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate and legal and procedural requirements. This is required by Government guidance

Yes ☒

No ☐

b. Sound

To be 'sound' a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. This is required by Government guidance
(if you do not consider the LDP to be sound, please complete section 2.3. below)

Yes ☐

No ☒

2.3. Do you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound because it is not (tick as appropriate):

a. Positively prepared

To be positively prepared the plan should be prepared on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

b. Justified

To be justified the plan must be:

- Founded on a robust and credible evidence base;
- The most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives. ✓

c. Effective

To be effective the plan must be:

- Deliverable;
- Flexible;
- Able to be monitored.

d. Consistent with National Policy

The Plan must be consistent with Government guidance as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework ✓

On the following pages, please explain why you think the Plan is unsound or not legally compliant, and set out any changes you feel should be made to the Plan to make the Plan sound or legally compliant.

Please note: As there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage, please include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and the suggested change(s) to the Plan. After this stage, further submissions will only be invited at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues the Inspector identifies for examination.

- 2.4.** If you consider the Maldon District LDP to be unsound or not legally compliant please explain why in the box below.
Please be as precise as possible. Please also use this space for any comments in support of the LDP.

See attached sheets

- 2.5.** Please explain in the box below what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound.
Please be as precise as possible. Please explain why this change will make the Maldon District LDP legally compliant and sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward any suggested revised wording of the policies or supporting text.

See attached sheets

2.6. Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the hearing part of the examination? (tick as appropriate)

No, I wish to communicate through written representations ☐

Yes, I wish to speak to the Inspector at the hearing sessions ☒

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination

2.7. If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

The objections made go to the heart of the plans proposals for the District and Burnham-on-Crouch and so we wish to ensure that the Inspector understands our objections.

This is the end of Part 2 (Regulation 19 and 20) of the response form. Please complete this form for each representation you wish to make. You only need to complete Part A once. Please submit all of your response forms together.

Objection to Policy E6

We object to policy E6 where it requires that all major developments will provide financial contributions to the delivery of local employment and training. This should be met through the allocations and the development of land for employment use. The requirement to provide financial contributions for training is in our view outside the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Regulations and so is not justified or in accordance with national planning policy. Also requiring developments of employment land to contribute to training is outside the CIL Regulations. It is not necessary to make development acceptable, nor directly related to development. Planning should not seek to control local training of this type. This type of initiative is best left to the market, and its likely delivery would be better served by an increased level of provision and greater flexibility incorporated into the Burnham employment allocation. The plan is therefore not sound by being justified or in accordance with national policy.