

**Examination of the Maldon District Local Development Plan****Agenda for 09.30 hours Wednesday 4 February 2015****Please note the earlier than normal start time of 09.30 hours****DAY 8 (AM) - Matter 10: Infrastructure****Three Rivers Golf & Country Club, Stow Road, Cold Norton, Purleigh, Essex CM3 6RR**

*Discussions will focus on whether the District Local Plan is legally compliant and sound (positively prepared, justified, effective, and consistent with national policy) and, if not, how it should be modified to make it so*

**Opening Announcements**

- Health and safety, people present, and attendance list
- Purpose of the hearing sessions
- Conduct of the hearing session
- Inspector's report

**Infrastructure**

*Note: The merits and the potential impact on policy I1 of the A414 Danbury highway improvements, the North Heybridge flood alleviation scheme and the Country Park will be discussed in the afternoon Overrun hearing session. However, I am willing to hear any participant here who wishes to comment on these aspects but cannot stay on.*

1. Council – are there any more suggested changes to Table 1 to policy I1 (page 110) than those listed in your 10.8 and 10.9?
2. Should any other infrastructure elements be included in Table 1, e.g. those suggested by Collins & Coward (Linden Homes) on page 2 and Phase 2 (page 2)? Should it be more generic (Phase 2, page 4)?
3. What is the Council's response to Collins & Coward's claim that the Plan (and IDP) does not provide sufficient information (page 3)?
4. Council – is Collins & Coward right that the Plan is unsound for the largest sites because of their high infrastructure costs (pages 3 and 4)? Please give reasons
5. Is there a discrepancy between the methodologies of the viability studies and the IDP as Phase 2 claims (top of page 3)?
6. Should there be more in the Plan's reasoned justification to explain Table 1 particularly with regard to possible alternatives to pooled contributions, such as SELEP and County funding for the A414 and the suggestions made by Phase 2 (page 3)? I am concerned that CIL will not be available by the time some of the allocations have permission.

7. Council – do the various suggested main modifications over the course of the Examination affect Table 1 to policy I1, the viability studies (EB040 series), the IDP (EB059 series) and the IPP (Hearing Appendix 4)?
8. Do the Council’s suggested main modifications 055 and 056 in Hearings Appendix 1 bind the contents of the present IDP to the Plan as Phase 2 claims (page 5)?
9. The Council accepts that the delivery of a new Community Hospital cannot be committed to within the plan period. Is the Council’s consequential suggested main modification to policy I2 at 057 in Hearings Appendix 1 acceptable?
10. Are there any other respects in which this issue in the Plan would not be sound that have not already been discussed here or previously?

**Any Other Matters**

- Any other points representors wish to make