

1. Do you feel that the proposed rates are suitably informed by the viability evidence provided in the Local Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy Viability Study (August 2013) and Viability Study Post Consultation Update (November 2013) produced by HDH Planning & Development?

YES

NO

I AM A LAYMAN & WONT ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS.

2. Do you believe the evidence on viability is correct?

If not, please set out alternative evidence to support your view.

YES

NO

3. Do you think the rates proposed strike an appropriate balance between helping to fund infrastructure through CIL and the potential effects of imposing CIL on the viability of development needed to deliver the aspirations of the Local Development Plan?

YES

NO

I GUESS THE CHARGES WILL BE ABOUT
 £ 1200 ON A SMALL HOUSE
 £ 35,000 ON A SMALL MANSION
 THE FIRST IS TO LOW & THE SECOND
 TO HIGH. ✓ WILL SKEW THE HOUSING STOCK
 TO SMALL HOUSES.

PTO

✓

1 SUGGEST - SOMETHING LIKE THIS

1 Bed	<	90 ² m	1,000
1 Bed	>	90 ² m → 150 ² m	1500
2 Bed	<	150 sqm	1800
2 Bed	>	150 ² m → 250 ² m	2,500
3 Bed	<	250 → 300 ² m	3000
3 Bed	<	300 → 400	3500
4/5 Bed	>	300 - 450	4000
4 Bed	>	450	5000
5 Bed	>	550	6000
7 Bed	>	600	7000
ANYTHING ABOVE 600			10,000. MAX. ON HOUSES.

- 1) INCREASES THE INCOME FOR THE COUNCIL
- 2) GIVES CHOICE
- 3) MIGHT (WITH TWEAKING) AVOID CRAMMING TO MANY BIDS IN A SMALL HOUSE
- 4) THE COST IS EASILY AFFORDABLE, THE PRICE OF BUILDING LAND MIGHT FALL MARGINALLY

NEW HOUSES HOLD PAY FOR THE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT ALREADY EXISTS, WHICH HOUSEHOLDERS WILL USE. — IMPORTANT POINT.

4. Do you think the Council should introduce an instalments policy?

YES NO

ONLY BY BUILDING ZONE.
~~OR HAVE IF A HOUSE~~

5. Do you think the Council should include discretionary relief from CIL for charitable investment or 'exceptional circumstances' as outlined in section 2.5 of the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule consultation document?

YES NO

CHARITIES HAVE ENOUGH BREAKS.

5. Do you have any other comments on the preliminary draft schedule or supporting evidence?

YES NO

THE PRINCIPLE OF "BUYING IN" TO EXISTING
INFRASTRUCTURE IS IMPORTANT.