

1. Do you feel that the proposed rates are suitably informed by the viability evidence provided in the Local Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy Viability Study (August 2013) and Viability Study Post Consultation Update (November 2013) produced by HDH Planning & Development? YES  NO

2. Do you believe the evidence on viability is correct? YES  NO   
If not, please set out alternative evidence to support your view.

3. Do you think the rates proposed strike an appropriate balance between helping to fund infrastructure through CIL and the potential effects of imposing CIL on the viability of development needed to deliver the aspirations of the Local Development Plan? YES  NO

*UNABLE TO JUDGE - INSUFFICIENT DATA*

4. Do you think the Council should introduce an instalments policy?

YES

NO

5. Do you think the Council should include discretionary relief from CIL for charitable investment or 'exceptional circumstances' as outlined in section 2.5 of the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule consultation document?

YES

NO

5. Do you have any other comments on the preliminary draft schedule or supporting evidence?

YES

NO

TO PROVIDE DISCIPLINE FOR THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, A TIMING PLAN FOR THE COLLECTION & SPENDING OF THE C.I.L. IS ESSENTIAL. OTHERWISE INCOMING C.I.L. FUNDS WILL BE SPASMODIC, AND MAY GET SPENT ON OTHER COUNCIL PRIORITIES. FOR EXAMPLE "AFTER PHASE 1 OF THE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT (TO BE DEFINED) IS COMPLETED, COMMUNITY PROJECT 'A' (TO BE DEFINED) MUST BE BUILT. ONLY THEN MAY PHASE 2 OF HOUSING DEVELOPMENT BE COMMENCED.... AND SO ON. THUS BY THE TIME THE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IS COMPLETE, SO TOO ARE ALL ELEMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY BUILDINGS (SHOPS, SCHOOL, DOCTORS ETC.) THE PREVIOUS ELM FARM DEVELOPMENT FAILED TOTALLY ON THIS COUNT.