

1. Do you feel that the proposed rates are suitably informed by the viability evidence provided in the Local Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy Viability Study (August 2013) and Viability Study Post Consultation Update (November 2013) produced by HDH Planning & Development?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

No comments

2. Do you believe the evidence on viability is correct? If not, please set out alternative evidence to support your view.	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

No Comments

3. Do you think the rates proposed strike an appropriate balance between helping to fund infrastructure through CIL and the potential effects of imposing CIL on the viability of development needed to deliver the aspirations of the Local Development Plan?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

No Comments

4. Do you think the Council should introduce an instalments policy?

Yes

No

No Comments

5. Do you think the Council should include discretionary relief from CIL for charitable investment or 'exceptional circumstances' as outlined in section 2.5 of the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule consultation document?

Yes

No

No comments

6. Do you have any other comments on the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule or supporting evidence?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Maldon Town Council is concerned with the inherent inequality in the distribution of the CIL to parishes.

The Town Council is in agreement with the housing growth for the town proposed in the LDP as long as the necessary infrastructure is put in place.

It does not see benefit to the town in producing a neighbourhood plan when so much of the town is already closely defined within the LDP and protected by planning policies.

However because it is not incurring extra work and cost to the District by creating neighbourhood plans for the town, it will be penalised by receiving the lower level of CIL of only 15%.

The Town Council believes that local councils should have greater influence in how funds raised from development are used in their parishes and suggest that parishes should benefit from up to 50% of the CIL.