

PART B

Reference is made to the appropriate Policy/Appendix numbers in the Draft LDP

- Q1 The overall vision is to improve the quality of life for people living and working in the District and to provide the new homes, jobs and infrastructure required to meet identified needs and support the local economy, whilst protecting the District's heritage and environment.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the overall vision for the District set out on of the Draft LDP? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q2 In order to ensure the LDP will meet the requirements set by Government, the Council has reviewed the amount of development required to meet identified housing and employment needs over the next 15 years. As a result, it has been necessary to increase the proposed number of new homes from 3,000 to 4,410 and to allocate 8.4 hectares of additional employment land.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the proposed level of growth in the District ? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 The main urban areas of Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham-on-Crouch are considered to be the most appropriate locations for new housing. Following consideration of alternative options which are detailed in the Draft LDP , the following distribution is now proposed.

Do you support the proposed distribution of new housing ?

Settlement	Total number of dwellings	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Maldon	1,830	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Heybridge	1,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Burnham-On-Crouch	450	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 If you disagree, is there an alternative distribution of new housing which you would prefer?

3770 planned new houses, we do not agree that Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham are the most appropriate locations, 76% of housing (2830 houses) in Maldon and Heybridge and 12% (450 houses) in Burnham against 11.5% (420 houses) in other areas, this is nowhere near a fair distribution of the housing plan. If, as the council indicates these are the three main areas of growth a more even distribution plan should be considered , cont on q14

- Q4 It is proposed to develop two Garden Suburbs in Maldon and Heybridge which will be comprehensively planned to ensure the provision of a mix of housing, community and educational facilities, open space and new transport provision.
- | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you agree with the proposals for development in Maldon and Heybridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q5 The distribution of new housing in Burnham-on-Crouch has been reviewed in response to comments received during the last consultation. It is now proposed to distribute the new housing between three smaller sites instead of one large site.
- | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in Burnham-on-Crouch ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q6 In response to comments made during the last consultation, the number of new dwellings to be accommodated in North Fambridge has been reduced from 300 to 75.
- | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in North Fambridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q7 In order to provide for local housing needs and support local services, it is proposed to make provision for a total of 345 new dwellings in other rural villages in the District. A Rural Allocations Development Plan Document will be produced after completion of the LDP in consultation with local communities to identify appropriate sites for development.
- | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in other rural villages ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q8 A policy has been included in the Draft LDP to ensure that the use or display of advertisements do not have an adverse impact on amenity and public safety.
- | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you agree with this approach ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q9 The Draft LDP seeks to provide adequate land to promote employment development, job creation and to allow for the expansion of existing businesses.
- | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the proposals for new employment development in Maldon and the extension to the Burnham Business Park ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q10 Increasing the supply of affordable housing is one of the Council's key priorities.
- | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>Strongly
Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly
Disagree</i> |
| Do you agree with the local requirements for affordable housing provision ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Q11 The Council is committed to working with our partners to improve healthcare facilities within the District

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support development on an appropriate greenfield location at the edge of Maldon if it were to deliver a new Community Hospital or similar healthcare facilities ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q12 Primrose Meadow is an area of green space situated off Mundon Road, Maldon

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the production of a Primrose Meadow Planning Brief to manage the future use of the site ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q13 The Council has produced an updated to support the Draft LDP which is available on the Council's website. Do you have any comments on this?

cont from q3:Our proposal would be a more equal split with Maldon, Heybridge, Burnham taking 60% or 2220 houses and North Fambridge and the other villages taken 40% of 1480 houses, this should reflect a larger build plan for the 5 major larger villages plus a small percentage for the other village locations. The 60% (2220) lion share should be split (roughly in line with you proposed split) with Maldon taken 50% 1110 houses, Heybridge 30% 666 houses, Burnham 20% taking 444 houses. cont on Q14

Q14 Do you wish to comment on the *proposals map* or any other policies in the Draft LDP?

If so, please enter here which *Policy / Paragraph number(s)* you refer to

Please enter your comments in the box below

Cont for Q13 As you are fully aware that Maldon area has been voted several times as one of the best places to live in the UK, you proposed plan would certainly put that rating and risk due to over population, road congestion, local services and very important erosion of the areas identities (as what happened in Wickford and the Wick development under Basildon council). Please reconsider your plans . This is what we can see the worst decision Maldon council could make.

Q15 If you wish to make any other comments on the Draft LDP, enter your comments in the box below

cont from q14: This proposed development should be amended as covered in our response in q3 , please find some additional comments focussing in Maldon and Heybridge : 1.The road congestion between Maldon and Heybridge is already very bad, with the proposed 1000 new houses for Heybridge coming towards Heybridge onto the cause way, the street and Bentals, towards Colchester road and Goldhanger road area will become gridlocked, there is nowhere for the traffic to go, your proposed relief roads will still have to feed back into the towns it's just a loop action only.1a The new development off the A441, 1710 houses you have planned relief road but the traffic will end back into the town roads at some point, traditional rush hours, school run Times will become congested 2. Heath care services, positive plan to have a new hospital, will it cover what is currently offered in St Peters hospital or will it offer more services, in addition and very key what abosupport services eg Doctors

Gary Sung

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 October 2013 12:40
To: Policy
Subject: Response to local development plans

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Sir/Madame

As discussed with you on the telephone we have completed the questionnaire on line but required more space for comments, can you please attached my full comments to the online response.

[REDACTED]

In your consultation you reflect two totals, the 1st 4410 new houses and a second of 3700 houses (with a breakdown) we have used the later for our comments as the additional does not have a breakdown. 3770 planned new houses, we do not agree that Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham are the most appropriate locations, 76% of housing (2830 houses) in Maldon and Heybridge and 12% (450 houses) in Burnham against 11.5% (420 houses) in other areas, this is nowhere near a fair distribution of the housing plan. If, as the council indicates these are the three main areas of growth a more even distribution plan should be considered ,

Our proposal would be a more equal split with Maldon, Heybridge, Burnham taking 60% or 2220 houses and North Fambridge and the other villages taken 40% of 1480 houses, this should reflect a larger build plan for the 5 major larger villages plus a small percentage for the other village locations. The 60% (2220) lion share should be split (roughly in line with you proposed split) with Maldon taken 50% 1110 houses, Heybridge 30% 666 houses , Burnham 20% taking 444 houses.

As you are fully aware that Maldon area has been voted several times as one of the best places to live in the UK, you proposed plan would certainly put that rating and risk due to over population, road congestion, local services and very important erosion of Maldon and Heybridge identities (as what happened in Wickford and the Wick development under Basildon council). Please reconsider your plans . This is what we can see the worst decision Maldon council could make.

Q15.

This proposed development should be amended as covered in our response above , please find some additional comments focussing in Maldon and Heybridge :

1. The road congestion between Maldon and Heybridge is already very bad, with the proposed 1000 new houses for Heybridge coming towards Heybridge onto the cause way, the street and Bental's, towards

Colchester road and Goldhanger road area will become gridlocked, there is nowhere for the traffic to go, your proposed relief roads will still have to feed back into the towns it's just a loop action only.

- 1a The new development off the A441, 1710 houses you have planned relief road but the traffic will end back into the town roads at some point, traditional rush hours, school run times will become congested
2. Heath care services, positive plan to have a new hospital, will it cover what is currently offered in St Peters hospital or will it offer more services, in addition and very key but what about support services for Doctors surgeries, Dentist etc .
3. Education, We only have the Plume secondary school serving you say that there are plans to cover a much bigger intake but how big can one school become before it becomes unmanageable, access to the school is from one road the congestion will significantly worsen at key times. Another aspect is primary schools you mention two new schools one in Heybridge one in Maldon where are the locations for these ?, within the new developments that's not good for integration (see comment below)..
4. **The towns identity, personally this is quite import for us** and I feel very dangerous for Maldon council to lose, One of the key reasons for moving to Maldon from Wickford area 13 years ago is the changes caused by over development, We spend a lot of years in Wickford during the time the Wick development started and was on going for over a decade this ended up swamping and changing the whole physical and mental environment/atmosphere of Wickford itself. Many people from outside the area moved with different aspirations, different outlooks and no interested in the towns traditions, the schools become full, Doctors surgeries become fall and there was to some extent a US and THEM feeling. It would be dreadfully sad to see this happen to Maldon and Heybridge.

Regards

