

## PART B

Reference is made to the appropriate Policy/Appendix numbers in the Draft LDP

- Q1 The overall vision is to improve the quality of life for people living and working in the District and to provide the new homes, jobs and infrastructure required to meet identified needs and support the local economy, whilst protecting the District's heritage and environment.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the overall vision for the District set out on of the Draft LDP? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- Q2 In order to ensure the LDP will meet the requirements set by Government, the Council has reviewed the amount of development required to meet identified housing and employment needs over the next 15 years. As a result, it has been necessary to increase the proposed number of new homes from 3,000 to 4,410 and to allocate 8.4 hectares of additional employment land.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the proposed level of growth in the District ? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 The main urban areas of Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham-on-Crouch are considered to be the most appropriate locations for new housing. Following consideration of alternative options which are detailed in the Draft LDP , the following distribution is now proposed.

**Do you support the proposed distribution of new housing ?**

<b>Settlement</b>	<b>Total number of dwellings</b>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Maldon	1,830	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Heybridge	1,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Burnham-On-Crouch	450	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 If you disagree, is there an alternative distribution of new housing which you would prefer?

A NEW TOWN/DEVELPMENT NEARER TO MAJOR MAIN ROAD & RAIL SERVICES (NOT A SINGLE TRACK RAIL LINE & B ROADS) OR BUILD A NEW (MINIMUM OF 1 ROAD) ROAD TO BURNHAM. IF BUILT FROM SCRATCH IT MAY TAKE LONGER & COST MORE INITIALLY BUT THE FUTURE WOULD BE BETTER FOR INVESTMENT, INDUSTRY, CYCLE PATHS, EDUCATION, MEDICAL (CLOSER TO MAIN HOSPITAL) SEWAGE ETC. A CHANCE TO DEMONSTRATE FABULOUS LATEST TECHNOLOGY ECT. WITH LATEST ARCHITECTURE, LOW CARBON ETC. RATHER THAN ADD ON TO AN ESTABLISHED TOWN NOT WANTED

- Q4 It is proposed to develop two Garden Suburbs in Maldon and Heybridge which will be comprehensively planned to ensure the provision of a mix of housing, community and educational facilities, open space and new transport provision.
- |   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|   | <i>Strongly Agree</i>    | <i>Agree</i>             | <i>No Opinion</i>                   | <i>Disagree</i>          | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
| Do you agree with the proposals for development in Maldon and Heybridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q5 The distribution of new housing in Burnham-on-Crouch has been reviewed in response to comments received during the last consultation. It is now proposed to distribute the new housing between three smaller sites instead of one large site.
- |  |                                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|  | <i>Strongly Agree</i>               | <i>Agree</i>             | <i>No Opinion</i>        | <i>Disagree</i>          | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in Burnham-on-Crouch ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q6 In response to comments made during the last consultation, the number of new dwellings to be accommodated in North Fambridge has been reduced from 300 to 75.
- |  |                          |                                     |                          |                          |                          |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|  | <i>Strongly Agree</i>    | <i>Agree</i>                        | <i>No Opinion</i>        | <i>Disagree</i>          | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in North Fambridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q7 In order to provide for local housing needs and support local services, it is proposed to make provision for a total of 345 new dwellings in other rural villages in the District. A Rural Allocations Development Plan Document will be produced after completion of the LDP in consultation with local communities to identify appropriate sites for development.
- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|   | <i>Strongly Agree</i>    | <i>Agree</i>             | <i>No Opinion</i>        | <i>Disagree</i>                     | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in other rural villages ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q8 A policy has been included in the Draft LDP to ensure that the use or display of advertisements do not have an adverse impact on amenity and public safety.
- |                                   |                                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                   | <i>Strongly Agree</i>               | <i>Agree</i>             | <i>No Opinion</i>        | <i>Disagree</i>          | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
| Do you agree with this approach ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q9 The Draft LDP seeks to provide adequate land to promote employment development, job creation and to allow for the expansion of existing businesses.
- |  |                          |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|  | <i>Strongly Agree</i>    | <i>Agree</i>             | <i>No Opinion</i>        | <i>Disagree</i>          | <i>Strongly Disagree</i>            |
| Do you support the proposals for new employment development in Maldon and the extension to the Burnham Business Park ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q10 Increasing the supply of affordable housing is one of the Council's key priorities.
- |   |                          |                                     |                          |                          |                          |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|   | <i>Strongly Agree</i>    | <i>Agree</i>                        | <i>No Opinion</i>        | <i>Disagree</i>          | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
| Do you agree with the local requirements for affordable housing provision ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Q11 The Council is committed to working with our partners to improve healthcare facilities within the District

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support development on an appropriate greenfield location at the edge of Maldon if it were to deliver a new Community Hospital or similar healthcare facilities ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q12 Primrose Meadow is an area of green space situated off Mundon Road, Maldon

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the production of a Primrose Meadow Planning Brief to manage the future use of the site ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q13 The Council has produced an updated to support the Draft LDP which is available on the Council's website. Do you have any comments on this?

I HOPE MDC STICK TO ENHANCING & IMPROVING ALL AREAS EQUALLY. IT APPEARS THAT SOME AREAS A FAVOURED RATHER THAN OTHERS FOR CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS & PLANNING DEVELOPMENTS. I HOPE MDC HAVE AN OPEN VIEW WHEN TRYING TO HELP THE DISTRICT GROW IN CO HESION WITH ECC & ALL OTHER AUTHORITIES AND FIGHT FOR US.THIS DISTRICT IS VISITED AND IS PROUD OF ITS GREEN FIELDS, WITH LIVESTOCK SO PEOPLE & CHILDREN CAN SEE WHERE THEIR FOOD COMES FROM (EDUCATIONAL) WE DO NOT WANT TO BE AN URBAN CONCRETE JUNGLE,PROTECT IT

Q14 Do you wish to comment on the *proposals map* or any other policies in the Draft LDP?

If so, please enter here which *Policy / Paragraph number(s)* you refer to **S3 (9) S6 2.78**  
**S6 2 7a**

Please enter your comments in the box below

THE BUS GROUPS ARE LOOKING TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF BUSES NOT INCREASE THEM HOW DO YOU PROPOSE TO STOP THIS &IMPROVE THEM. WE HAVE NO BUSES ON SUNDAYS AND THEY STOP EARLY ON WEEK DAYS? SEWAGE IF ANGLIAN WATER HAVE TO IMPROVE BURNHAMS SYSTEM, WHY COULDN'T THEY IMPROVE SOUTHMINSTER WHERE THEY WANT A NEW SUPERMARKET &MORE AFFORDABLE HOMES?THEIR COUNCILLORS ARE CRYING OUT FOR INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVMENTS & ENHANCEMENT.BURNHAM IS NOT! HIGHWAYS I FIND IT INCOMPREHENSIBLE THAT THE HIGHWAYS SAY THIS UNTRUE!

Q15 If you wish to make any other comments on the Draft LDP, enter your comments in the box below

I FEEL IT HASN'T BEEN OBJECTIVELY ASSESSED IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN DEVELOPER LED, WHICH IS WHAT DAVID COLEMAN SAID LAST YEAR ON THE FIRST DRAFT & PUBLIC MEETINGS. WHEN IT IS LED BY PROFIT RATHER THAN THE BENEFIT OF THE TOWNS/VILLAGES YOU ARE NOT DEVELOPING FOR THE RIGHT REASONS. THE SUCCESS. ACCEPTABILTY, SUSTAINABILITY & HAPPY RESIDENTS WILL BE LIMITED. THE TRAFFIC YOU WILL GENERATE WILL ALTER BURNHAMS CHARACTER & WAY OF LIFE FOREVER & ONCE IT HAS GONE IT HAS GONE. WE ARE SO FAR AWAY FROM MAIN HOSPITALS, POLICE STATIONS, FIRE STATION & WE NOW LOOK SET TO LOSE OUR MARINE UNIT...YOU WILL DESTROY US & IN MY OPINION LEAVE US TO DECAY NOT PROSPER. WE NEED STRONGER COUNCILLORS TO STAND UP FOR BURNHAM SO WE CAN GROW, ENCOURAGING NATURAL GROWTH NOT MASS IMPOSED HOUSES THEN LEFT TO ROT. EMPLOYMENT IS LIMITED AS COSTS ARE TOO HIGH. I COULD GO ON & ON BUT I WON'T. I WOULD SUPPORT GROWTH IF IT WAS GOING TO GENERATE INCOME FOR OUR ECONOMY NOT ECC 106 POT!