

PART B

Reference is made to the appropriate Policy/Appendix numbers in the Draft LDP

- Q1 The overall vision is to improve the quality of life for people living and working in the District and to provide the new homes, jobs and infrastructure required to meet identified needs and support the local economy, whilst protecting the District's heritage and environment.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the overall vision for the District set out on of the Draft LDP? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q2 In order to ensure the LDP will meet the requirements set by Government, the Council has reviewed the amount of development required to meet identified housing and employment needs over the next 15 years. As a result, it has been necessary to increase the proposed number of new homes from 3,000 to 4,410 and to allocate 8.4 hectares of additional employment land.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the proposed level of growth in the District ? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 The main urban areas of Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham-on-Crouch are considered to be the most appropriate locations for new housing. Following consideration of alternative options which are detailed in the Draft LDP , the following distribution is now proposed.

Do you support the proposed distribution of new housing ?

Settlement	Total number of dwellings	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Maldon	1,830	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Heybridge	1,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Burnham-On-Crouch	450	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 If you disagree, is there an alternative distribution of new housing which you would prefer?

All the towns/villages that are served by train stations should be allocated more, especially North Fambridge which seems to have a tiny allocation.

- Q4 It is proposed to develop two Garden Suburbs in Maldon and Heybridge which will be comprehensively planned to ensure the provision of a mix of housing, community and educational facilities, open space and new transport provision.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with the proposals for development in Maldon and Heybridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q5 The distribution of new housing in Burnham-on-Crouch has been reviewed in response to comments received during the last consultation. It is now proposed to distribute the new housing between three smaller sites instead of one large site.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in Burnham-on-Crouch ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q6 In response to comments made during the last consultation, the number of new dwellings to be accommodated in North Fambridge has been reduced from 300 to 75.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in North Fambridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q7 In order to provide for local housing needs and support local services, it is proposed to make provision for a total of 345 new dwellings in other rural villages in the District. A Rural Allocations Development Plan Document will be produced after completion of the LDP in consultation with local communities to identify appropriate sites for development.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in other rural villages ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q8 A policy has been included in the Draft LDP to ensure that the use or display of advertisements do not have an adverse impact on amenity and public safety.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with this approach ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q9 The Draft LDP seeks to provide adequate land to promote employment development, job creation and to allow for the expansion of existing businesses.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the proposals for new employment development in Maldon and the extension to the Burnham Business Park ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q10 Increasing the supply of affordable housing is one of the Council's key priorities.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with the local requirements for affordable housing provision ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Q11 The Council is committed to working with our partners to improve healthcare facilities within the District

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support development on an appropriate greenfield location at the edge of Maldon if it were to deliver a new Community Hospital or similar healthcare facilities ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q12 Primrose Meadow is an area of green space situated off Mundon Road, Maldon

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the production of a Primrose Meadow Planning Brief to manage the future use of the site ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q13 The Council has produced an updated to support the Draft LDP which is available on the Council's website. Do you have any comments on this?

Q14 Do you wish to comment on the *proposals map* or any other policies in the Draft LDP?

If so, please enter here which *Policy / Paragraph number(s)* you refer to **2.54, Ma60, Ma61**

Please enter your comments in the box below

2.54 Mentions diverting water away from urban area. SDS and SWMP really don't work. Nothing mentioned of increased pressure on downstream systems. Ma 64 has 20 dead/rotting Oak trees, but otherwise just an agricultural field NOT a wildlife area. Ma 60 seems arbitrarily lined to encompass not just the Creek, but inland area that is NOT a wildlife area. Both designations may restrict long standing working practices. Please remove both. Why is Blind Lane classed as an indicative protected lane?

Q15 If you wish to make any other comments on the Draft LDP, enter your comments in the box below

All developers should pay a percentage towards upgrading drainage systems downstream of their developments. The SUDS (now SDS) and SWMP system clearly does not work properly and still compounds existing problems further downstream. The Environment Agency are already failing to keep abreast of the issues, so funding should be agreed for projects that will alleviate flooding problems that are slowly beginning to creep back towards the main roads and villages. Farmers and rural homeowners have been suffering the consequences of increased ditch flows for years, because the original outlet systems are totally inadequate for today's flows, let alone the future. The Environment Agency may well look at development plans, and approve various flood mitigation schemes for the immediate area, but they admit they rarely consider the problems passed on to the old exit sluices that are 'tide locked' for long periods. Land and Property owners are paying a heavy price, whilst developers just profit.