

PART B

Reference is made to the appropriate Policy/Appendix numbers in the Draft LDP

- Q1 The overall vision is to improve the quality of life for people living and working in the District and to provide the new homes, jobs and infrastructure required to meet identified needs and support the local economy, whilst protecting the District's heritage and environment.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the overall vision for the District set out on of the Draft LDP? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q2 In order to ensure the LDP will meet the requirements set by Government, the Council has reviewed the amount of development required to meet identified housing and employment needs over the next 15 years. As a result, it has been necessary to increase the proposed number of new homes from 3,000 to 4,410 and to allocate 8.4 hectares of additional employment land.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the proposed level of growth in the District ? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 The main urban areas of Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham-on-Crouch are considered to be the most appropriate locations for new housing. Following consideration of alternative options which are detailed in the Draft LDP , the following distribution is now proposed.

Do you support the proposed distribution of new housing ?

Settlement	Total number of dwellings	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Maldon	1,830	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Heybridge	1,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Burnham-On-Crouch	450	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 If you disagree, is there an alternative distribution of new housing which you would prefer?

True sustainability demands a greater emphasis on sites that lie within 10 to 15 mins walk of a railway station. In addition to Burnham-on-Crouch and North Fambridge, a closer look at Southminster would be of merit given that the previously cited sewerage constraint now appears somewhat less absolute. Althorne station is a less likely candidate to serve nearby development, but there is scope to create a footpath/cycleway to link the area of the Park Homes site with the station.

- Q4 It is proposed to develop two Garden Suburbs in Maldon and Heybridge which will be comprehensively planned to ensure the provision of a mix of housing, community and educational facilities, open space and new transport provision.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with the proposals for development in Maldon and Heybridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q5 The distribution of new housing in Burnham-on-Crouch has been reviewed in response to comments received during the last consultation. It is now proposed to distribute the new housing between three smaller sites instead of one large site.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in Burnham-on-Crouch ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q6 In response to comments made during the last consultation, the number of new dwellings to be accommodated in North Fambridge has been reduced from 300 to 75.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in North Fambridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q7 In order to provide for local housing needs and support local services, it is proposed to make provision for a total of 345 new dwellings in other rural villages in the District. A Rural Allocations Development Plan Document will be produced after completion of the LDP in consultation with local communities to identify appropriate sites for development.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in other rural villages ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q8 A policy has been included in the Draft LDP to ensure that the use or display of advertisements do not have an adverse impact on amenity and public safety.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with this approach ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q9 The Draft LDP seeks to provide adequate land to promote employment development, job creation and to allow for the expansion of existing businesses.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the proposals for new employment development in Maldon and the extension to the Burnham Business Park ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q10 Increasing the supply of affordable housing is one of the Council's key priorities.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with the local requirements for affordable housing provision ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Q11 The Council is committed to working with our partners to improve healthcare facilities within the District

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support development on an appropriate greenfield location at the edge of Maldon if it were to deliver a new Community Hospital or similar healthcare facilities ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q12 Primrose Meadow is an area of green space situated off Mundon Road, Maldon

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the production of a Primrose Meadow Planning Brief to manage the future use of the site ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q13 The Council has produced an updated to support the Draft LDP which is available on the Council's website. Do you have any comments on this?

The SA identifies relatively few specific targets. Without these, how will you measure the success levels of your sustainability objectives?

Q14 Do you wish to comment on the *proposals map* or any other policies in the Draft LDP?

If so, please enter here which *Policy / Paragraph number(s)* you refer to **various**

Please enter your comments in the box below

In view of 500 character limitation I will send these in a separate email.

Q15 If you wish to make any other comments on the Draft LDP, enter your comments in the box below

In view of the size limitation within this box, I will send my additional comments in a separate email.

Gary Sung

From: onthemat <onthemat@btconnect.com>
Sent: 10 October 2013 17:31
To: Policy
Subject: LDP - Public Consultation Questionnaire - supplementary submission from Paul Haworth

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

LDP - Public Consultation Questionnaire - supplementary submission from Paul Haworth, 17 Ember Way, Burnham-on-Crouch (onthemat@btconnect.com).

Please associate the following comments with my online submission, sent this afternoon (10 October 2013), which offered insufficient space for my response to questions 14 and 15.

Q14 – responses from Paul Haworth:-

Policy S1 (7) “Enable and adapt...” - suggest re-word saying “Respond and adapt...”

Policy S2 The Burnham-on-Crouch Strategic Allocations are too intensive and too firmly front-loaded; other settlements with commensurate rail and road links should take their fair share of these allocations.

Site S2(j) is less suited to development than others nearby, e.g. the area previously identified as B4/SH08. Comparisons are difficult because the sites currently featured do not match exactly with the sites that were examined when compiling the evidence base. The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (2010) in the vicinity of what is now S2(j) failed to notice the parish church (grade II * listed) and consequently the potential loss of visual amenity was not recorded. Nevertheless the overall landscape and visual impact was seen as a major constraint, since “any landscape and visual impact of development on the site could not be satisfactorily mitigated through planting”. The church made a silent appearance at the point where the impact assessment observed that “listed structures are located close to the boundary of the site [SH16], however development of the site could mitigate any potentially detrimental impacts upon these structures”. It is hard to see what could mitigate the effects of a development within a hundred metres of stained glass windows across the east end of an ancient parish church. Site S2(j) should be re-visited and more accurately compared with others nearby.

Q15 – responses from Paul Haworth:-

Para 1.45 (Introduction & Context) - please note the existence of the railway - this is done for all other stations along the Crouch Valley Line, why no mention in the paragraph relating to Burnham-on-Crouch?

Para 2.75 (Healthcare Provision) says “The NHS has indicated that it would require an increase in the number of GPs and enhancements to existing provision to build in further capacity to meet the needs of the new community”. But the Maldon District Infrastructure Delivery Plan (Schedule Update June 2013) says “The planned level of growth at Burnham-on-Crouch is not sufficient enough to support an additional full-time GP.” Steps should be taken to ensure that proposed growth is better matched to feasible levels of developer contribution.

Para 8.18 (Health and Wellbeing) says “The HNA has estimated that 73% of the District’s residents can travel to St Peter’s Hospital in 15 minutes, and 45% of residents can travel to Broomfield Hospital in 15 minutes.” The second of these statements is a dubious claim, although it is indeed drawn from the summary introduction (1.2.1.7) to the Health Needs Assessment (HNA). However, a closer reading of the full text of the HNA (4.7.3.2) reveals that “The travel time to Broomfield Hospital for the Maldon population is 25 minutes for around 32% of residents. 59% are within 30 minutes of the hospital.” These are therefore the figures that should be quoted in the LDP.

General - Nowhere does the LDP address the need to reduce vulnerability and increase resilience at Burnham-on-Crouch for that part of the town lying south of the railway line. A major incident (such as a bridge strike or a gas leak) at the one and only vehicular crossing (beside the railway station) would totally block access in and out of a

large part of the town (including the clinic, the fire station, the ambulance station, and the marine police control centre). A solution could be provided through a 'major-incident-only' facility for emergency vehicles to cross the railway line at grade somewhere between the southern edge of the Burnham Industrial Estate and the stretch of Foundry Lane that leads to and from the Marina. Such a provision within the LDP would find support within paragraph 164 of NPPF.

What has happened to the Equality Impact Assessment?

[END]