

PART B

Reference is made to the appropriate Policy/Appendix numbers in the Draft LDP

- Q1 The overall vision is to improve the quality of life for people living and working in the District and to provide the new homes, jobs and infrastructure required to meet identified needs and support the local economy, whilst protecting the District's heritage and environment.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the overall vision for the District set out on of the Draft LDP? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q2 In order to ensure the LDP will meet the requirements set by Government, the Council has reviewed the amount of development required to meet identified housing and employment needs over the next 15 years. As a result, it has been necessary to increase the proposed number of new homes from 3,000 to 4,410 and to allocate 8.4 hectares of additional employment land.

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the proposed level of growth in the District ? <i>(please tick one box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 The main urban areas of Maldon, Heybridge and Burnham-on-Crouch are considered to be the most appropriate locations for new housing. Following consideration of alternative options which are detailed in the Draft LDP , the following distribution is now proposed.

Do you support the proposed distribution of new housing ?

Settlement	Total number of dwellings	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Maldon	1,830	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Heybridge	1,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Burnham-On-Crouch	450	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q3 If you disagree, is there an alternative distribution of new housing which you would prefer?

The south Maldon option is remote from the centre and will attract commuters to Chelmsford etc through Danbury. This is not sustainable for the neighbouring areas (against aims in para 1.3, 1.10). Heybridge has more promise, but could be improved. for example a lower-forms section of the Plume school to reduce pupil travel (say 11-14 year) and could integrate with a new rail link. The plan also ignores redevelopment of the hospital site which could contribute a significant number of dwellings.

- Q4 It is proposed to develop two Garden Suburbs in Maldon and Heybridge which will be comprehensively planned to ensure the provision of a mix of housing, community and educational facilities, open space and new transport provision.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with the proposals for development in Maldon and Heybridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q5 The distribution of new housing in Burnham-on-Crouch has been reviewed in response to comments received during the last consultation. It is now proposed to distribute the new housing between three smaller sites instead of one large site.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in Burnham-on-Crouch ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q6 In response to comments made during the last consultation, the number of new dwellings to be accommodated in North Fambridge has been reduced from 300 to 75.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in North Fambridge ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q7 In order to provide for local housing needs and support local services, it is proposed to make provision for a total of 345 new dwellings in other rural villages in the District. A Rural Allocations Development Plan Document will be produced after completion of the LDP in consultation with local communities to identify appropriate sites for development.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the strategy for housing development in other rural villages ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q8 A policy has been included in the Draft LDP to ensure that the use or display of advertisements do not have an adverse impact on amenity and public safety.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you agree with this approach ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q9 The Draft LDP seeks to provide adequate land to promote employment development, job creation and to allow for the expansion of existing businesses.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Do you support the proposals for new employment development in Maldon and the extension to the Burnham Business Park ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q10 Increasing the supply of affordable housing is one of the Council's key priorities.
- | | <i>Strongly Agree</i> | <i>Agree</i> | <i>No Opinion</i> | <i>Disagree</i> | <i>Strongly Disagree</i> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Do you agree with the local requirements for affordable housing provision ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Q11 The Council is committed to working with our partners to improve healthcare facilities within the District

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support development on an appropriate greenfield location at the edge of Maldon if it were to deliver a new Community Hospital or similar healthcare facilities ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q12 Primrose Meadow is an area of green space situated off Mundon Road, Maldon

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>No Opinion</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>
Do you support the production of a Primrose Meadow Planning Brief to manage the future use of the site ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q13 The Council has produced an updated to support the Draft LDP which is available on the Council's website. Do you have any comments on this?

The LDP ignores the need for fresh water supplies. This is a critical omission in the driest part of the UK. The LDP should consider reinstatement of a rail link to Maldon. There should be a protected corridor to Hatfield Peverel using much of the former route, to be developed with the north Heybridge site. I think it highly likely that many of the new residents would commute to Chelmsford or London putting strain on the links to Hatfield Peverel and / or Chelmsford

Q14 Do you wish to comment on the *proposals map* or any other policies in the Draft LDP?

If so, please enter here which *Policy / Paragraph number(s)* you refer to **S4, D2, D6, E1 H2 T1**

Please enter your comments in the box below

S4, 2.51 'Satellite' Plume school in Heybridge S4, T1 no railway provision for Maldon S4 2.70 The lower High Street is simply a dump, and nothing to do with 'isolation'. Adjust the use of buildings (e.g. no fast food) or consider significant redevelopment D2 Be more forceful about light pollution, ban upright, restrict 'on' hours D6 3.56 What about 'parked lorry' adverts ? E1 fails to recognise travel to work outwith District H2 is not good policy. Needs of social housing differs

Q15 If you wish to make any other comments on the Draft LDP, enter your comments in the box below

The main weakness is in failing to acknowledge external areas such as commuting and the effect on travel routes outside the district. It has considered Maldon district as an 'island' location. Allowing the planned population growth without prior capacity improvements to travel towards Chelmsford / Colchester / London would be highly detrimental to Danbury and Hatfield Peverel. Failure to consider fresh water supply is a serious omission. Accepting the 'social engineering' of mixing low rent housing among higher specification properties should be avoided. It has been demonstrated to be a failed concept too often. Social tenants have different needs (more bus use / walking / cycling, less driving) and expectations (off licenses and fast food not landscape gardens) to private owner-occupiers.