

GOLDHANGER PARISH COUNCIL

Comments on 2013 Draft LDP

In chronological order:

- P17 Why is the 400 existing commitments subject to change
Should the rural allocation figures be in bold type
- P22 What is RSS in the table?? Not in appendix 1
- P38 S7 4) If other proposals, outside villages, come forward the 420 in
the villages may not be required. How will the needs of a parish
(there may not be a need) be balanced against the required
number to be allocated somewhere.
- P40 S8 Where is the definition of countryside? Is it everything outside
a development boundary?
- P44 D1 1) The requirement to respect, enhance etc can be read as
following the character..., or making a positive contribution in
terms of. How is the latter assessed and balanced as it could be
the opposite of the existing local scene
- P52 3.32 Should not the Statutory listed structures be listed. I find the
MDC local list confusing. Is it for what is not already listed??
- P76 H1 Is there a chart showing where the listed areas are? Is
Goldhanger Northern Rural @40% or Maldon North @30%
Why should there be a relaxation consideration re viability?—
Suggest you check a recent difficulty with Housing dept.
- P77 5.5 There should be a required % for immediate village (as
exception sites) followed by a % for “local connection” which is
rather wide before you allow the nationwide application
requirement to kick in.
- P79 5.18 I cant find the 3rd bullet document and its not listed.
- P82 Backland Is this within development boundaries only??. How does it
relate to other policies and clarifications?
- P83 5.32 Where is the definition of countryside? Is it everything outside
a development boundary?
- P106 8.12 Will this be available before the next stage of the plan?
- P120 RSS and no doubt others are missing
- P127 Not all of these documents are available on the website. It
would be easier if they all had a numerical reference within the
text as most literature does.
- P135 onwards All listings need a parish to locate them
- P135 AM11 is Marigold Wood, AM12 is rear of Hall Estate, AM13 is
Hall Estate South and AM14...North
- P137 PA 10 is Goldhanger playing field
- P138 FB09 should be deleted as it is not used as a formal pitch
- P139 SN 03 revise description to “former allotment gardens”

Interactive Map:

Please find attached a mark up of the map Gary Sung sent us on which we have indicated:

Revisions still required to the Development Boundary

Can designations be made for the St Peters Close pond (see planning condition), Chequers, Cricketers & Village Hall car parks, village hall field.

There are differences of what is on this map and the main website

All parishes should have published maps as in previous plans.

Other Matters:

As the SHLAA is a relevant document how / when will it be updated

Is there a definition of Development Boundary

The available car parking policy still seems out of date

There should be a hierarchy of policies to avoid conflicts

I can only find Parish Councils mentioned once in 2.86 They should have more reference throughout the document

Can the next document be made searchable for text please.

How the necessary infrastructure will actually be delivered, as opposed to the best intentions etc., is still not provided.

It would seem reasonable that the North Fambridge allocation is located now, and need not delay the Plan

M Sargeant
Chairman

GOLDHAMMER PARISH COUNCIL

THIS MAP FROM GARY SONGS 26/9/13 HAS DIFFERENCES (MAINLY NOTATIONS) TO THE INTERACTIVE VERSION

NEEDS A STRONGER COLOURED LINE

KEEP A STRONGER LINE

THE POND HAS OPENSPACE STATUS - SEE ST PETERS CLOSE RELEVANCE CONSULT

~~CHEGGERS~~ CAR PARK

VILLAGE HILL CAR PARK

DEV BOUNDARY SHOULD BE ON EAST SIDE OF MALDEN ROAD

VILLAGE HILL FIELD

CHEGGERS CAR PARK SHOULD BE EXCLUDED FROM DEV BDT OR SOME SPECIAL STATUS ADDED

MOVE CLOSE TO HOUSE

25/10/13

